
What Caused The Salem Witch Trial Hysteria of 1692?







Documents Packet



Source: Adapted from Salem Court Records.

SALEM WITCHCRAFT HANGINGS 1692 June 10 Bridget Bishop July 19 Sarah Good July 19 Elizabeth Howe July 19 Susannah Martin July 19 Rebecca Nurse July 19 Sarah Wildes August 19 George Burroughs August 19 Martha Carrier August 19 George Jacobs August 19 John Proctor August 19 John Willard September 19 Giles Cory (pressed to death) September 22 Martha Cory September 22 Mary Easty September 22 Alice Parker September 22 Mary Parker September 22 Ann Pudeater September 22 Margaret Scott September 22 Wilmot Redd September 22 Samuel Wardwell ACCUSED WHO DIED IN JAIL Sarah Good's child died prior to July 19, 1692. May 10, 1692 Sarah Osborne June 16, 1692 Roger Toothaker December 3, 1692 Ann Foster March 10, 1693 Lydia Dustin



Source: Cotton Mather, Memorable Providences Relating to Witchcraft and Possessions, Boston, 1689.

These evil spirits are all around. There is confined unto the atmosphere of our air a vast power or army of evil spirits under the government of a Prince (Satan) who employs them in a continual opposition to the designs of God.... Go tell mankind, that there are devils and witches.... New England has had examples of their existence...and that not only the wigwams of Indians...but the houses of Christians, where our God has had his constant worship, have undergone the annoyance of evil spirits.

Note: Cotton Mather was one of Colonial New England's leading ministers and intellectuals. His words were read and taken very seriously by much of the Puritan population.



Source: "Examination of a Witch," painting by T.H. Matteson, 1853. Reprinted by permission of the Peabody Essex Museum.



DOC D

It should next be proved THAT Witchcraft is.

The being of such a thing is denied by many that place a great part of their small wit in deriding the stories that are told of it. Their chief argument is that they never saw any witches, therefore there are none. Just as if you or I should say, we never met with any robbers on the road, therefore there never was any padding there....

[T]here are especially two demonstrations that evince the being of that infernal mysterious thing. First. We have the testimony of scripture for it. Secondly. We have the testimony of experience for it.... Many witches have... confessed and shown their deeds. We see those things done that it is impossible any disease or any deceit should procure.

Source: Cotton Mather, "Memorable Providences relating to Witchcrafts and Possessions," from A Discourse on Witchcraft (1689)

Note: Cotton Mather was an influential and highly respected Puritan minister in New England at this time.



Source: John Demos, "Underlying Themes in the Witchcraft of 17th Century New England." *American Historical Review*, June, 1970.

Marital Status						
	Single	Married	Widowed	Total		
Male	8	15		. 24		
Female	29	61	20	110		
Total	37	76	21	^l 134		
Age						
	Under 20	21-40	41-60	Over 60	Total	
Male	6	6	11	7	30	
Female	18	15	41	14	88	

Marital S	Status				
	Single	Married	Widowed	Total	in the first
Male	5	0	0	5	
Female	23	6	0	29	
Total	28	6	0	l 34	
			Und Harkery		
Age					
	Under 11	11-15	16-20	Over 21	Tota
Male	0	1	10	0	2
Female	1. 40000	7.196	13	6	27

DOC F

Source: The Examination of Bridget Bishop at Salem Village 19. Apr. 1692, recorded by Samuel Parris.

—As soon as she came near all (the afflicted girls) fell into fits....

(Examiner): Bridget Bishop, you are now brought before Authority to give account of what witchcrafts you are conversant in.

(Bishop): I take all this people (turning her head and eyes about) to witness that I am clear.

(Examiner): (speaking to afflicted girls) Hath this woman hurt you?

—Eliz. Hubbard, Ann Putnam, Abigail Williams and Mercy Lewes affirmed that she had hurt them.

(Examiner) You are here accused by 4 or 5 for hurting them, what do you say to it?

(Bishop): I never saw these persons before, nor I never was in this place before...

(Examiner): They say you bewitched your first husband to death.

(Bishop): If it please your worship I know nothing of it.

—She shook her head and the afflicted were tortured.

—(The same thing happened) again upon the motion of her head.

—Sam. Braybrook affirmed that she told him today that she had been accounted a witch these 10 years, but she was no witch. The Devil cannot hurt her.

(Bishop): I am no witch

(Examiner): Why if you have not wrote in the book, yet tell me how far you have gone? Have you not to do with familiar spirits?

(Bishop): I have no familiarity with the Devil.

(Examiner): How is it then, that your appearance doth hurt these?

(Bishop): I am innocent.

(Examiner): Why you seem to act witchcraft before us by the motion of your body which seems to have influence upon the afflicted.

(Bishop): I know nothing of it. I am innocent to a witch. I know not what a witch is.

(Examiner): How do you know then that you are not a witch? And yet not know what a witch is?

(Bishop): I do not understand what you say.

(Examiner): How can you know, you are no witch, and yet not know what a witch is?

(Bishop): I am clear: if I were any such person you should know it.

(Examiner): You may threaten, but you can do no more than you are permitted.

(Bishop): I am innocent of a witch.

(Examiner): What do you say of those murders you are charged with?

(Bishop): I hope, I am not guilty of murder.

—Then she turned up her eyes, and the eyes of the afflicted were turned up.

(Examiner): It may be you do not know, that any have confessed to day, who have been examined before you, that they are witches. (Bishop): No, I know nothing of it.

—John Hutchinson and John Lewis in open Court affirmed that they had told her.

(Examiner): Why look you, you are taken now in a flat lie.

(Bishop): I did not hear them.

Note Sam. Gold saith that after this examination he asked Bridget Bishop if she were not troubled to see the afflicted persons so tormented, said Bishop answered no she was not troubled for them. Then he asked her whether she thought they were bewitched she said she could not tell what to think about them. Will Good and John Buxton Junior was by and he supposeth they heard her also.

Salem Village, April the 19th, 1692, Mr. Samuel Parris being desired to take into writing the Examination of Bridget Bishop, hath delivered it as aforesaid. And upon hearing the same and seeing what we did then see, together with the charge of the afflicted persons then present; we committed said Bridget Oliver

John Hathorne

Note: Samuel Parris was the minister of Salem Village. Parris's nine-year-old daughter Betty had erlier made charges of witchcraft against the family's Caribbean slave, Tituba.



Source: Charles W. Upham, Salem Witchcraft, Boston, 1867.

What are we to think of those persons who commenced and continued the accusations – the "afflicted children" and their associates?... It was perhaps their original design to gratify a love of notoriety or of mischief by creating...excitement in their neighborhood.... They soon, however, became intoxicated by the terrible success of their imposture, and were swept along by the frenzy they had occasioned.... Once or twice they were caught in their own snare; and nothing but the blindness of the bewildered community saved them from disgraceful exposure and well-deserved punishment. They appeared as prosecutors of every poor creature that was tried.... It is dreadful to reflect upon the enormity of their wickedness ... there can be no doubt that they were great actors.



Source: James West Davidson and Mark Hamilton Lytle, After the Fact, 1982.

The diagnosis of hysteria goes a long way toward resolving the historical debate over the afflicted girls' motivation. Adolescents, especially in the presence of Tituba, might very well have succumbed to the suggestion of bewitchment. The fits they experienced were very likely genuine, born of anxiety over a magic that threatened to overpower them. The diagnosis also explains many of the adult fits experienced by those who were convinced that their neighbors were conjuring against them. This is not to say that there was no acting at all; indeed, hysterics are notably suggestible, and no doubt the girls shaped their performances, at least instinctively, to the expectations of the community.





Source: Linda Caporael, "Ergotism: the Satan Loosed in Salem?" Science, April 2, 1976. Reprinted by permission of author.

Ergot (a parasitic fungus) grows on a large variety of cereal grains – especially rye.... (Ergot) has 10 percent of the activity of LSD, (and) is also found in morning glory seeds, the ritual hallucinogenic drug used by the Aztecs.... Warm, damp, rainy springs and summers favor ergot infestation.... (O)ne field may be heavily ergotized while the adjacent field is not. The fungus may (damage) a crop one year and not reappear again for many years....

Ergotism, or long-term ergot poisoning, was once a common condition resulting from eating contaminated rye bread. In some epidemics it appears that females were more liable to the disease than males. Children and pregnant women are most likely to be affected by the condition.... Ergotism is characterized by a number of symptoms. These include crawling sensations in the skin, tingling in the fingers, vertigo, ...hallucinations,...mania, melancholia, psychosis, and delirium. All of these symptoms are alluded to in the Salem witchcraft records.

Rye...was a well established New England Crop.... Seed time for the rye was April and the harvesting took place in August.... The timing of Salem events fits this cycle. Threshing probably occurred shortly before Thanksgiving, the only holiday the Puritans observed. The children's symptoms appeared in December 1691. Late the next fall, 1692, the witchcraft crisis ended abruptly....

Certain climatic conditions, that is, warm, rainy springs and summer, promote heavier than usual fungus infestation. The pattern of weather in 1691 and 1692 is apparent from brief comments in Samuel Sewall's diary. Early rains and warm weather in the spring progressed to a hot and stormy summer in 1691. There was a drought the next year, 1692, thus no contamination of the grain that year would be expected.

...(A) large measure of the Putnam farm, which was located in the western sector of Salem Village, consisted of swampy meadows... (and) may have been an area of contamination.... Three of the (afflicted) girls...lived in the Putnam residence Two afflicted girls, the daughter and niece of Samuel Parris, (a minister), lived in...the center of the village Two thirds of Parris' salary was paid in provisions.... Since Putnam was one of the largest landholders and an avid supporter of Parris...an ample store of ergotized grain would be anticipated in Parris' larder.



Source: Deposition of Ann Putnam, Jr., 11 years old, daughter of Thomas and Ann Putnam Sr., June 4, 1692.

...on the 13th March, 1692, I saw the apparition of Goody (Rebecca) Nurse, and she did immediately afflict me, but I did not know her name then, though I knew where she used to sit in our meetinghouse. But since that, she hath grievously afflicted by biting, pinching, and pricking me, (and) urging me to write in her book.

Source: Deposition of Ann Putnam, Sr., the wife of Thomas Putnam, June, 1692.

...on the first day of June, 1692, the apparition of Rebekkah Nurse did fall upon me and almost choke me, and told me that now she was come out of prison she had power to afflict me, and that now she would afflict me all this day long and would kill me if she could, for she told me she killed Benjamin Holton and John Fuller and Rebekah Shepard....

Note: The Putnams were one of the most powerful families in Salem Village. Rebecca Nurse, although born to a wealthy family, had lost everything by 1692 and lived on the fringes of town.

DOC K


Source: Reprinted by permission of Harvard University Press from Salem Possessed: The Social Origins of Witchcraft, by Paul Boyer and Stephen Nissenbaum, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Copyright (c) 1974 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

To be sure, there were a number of reasons, on the conscious and "rational" level, why Ann Putnam (Sr.) may have resented and even feared Rebecca Nurse. Rebecca was from Topsfield, whose town authorities had for years been harassing the Putnam family by claiming that parts of their lands actually lay in Topsfield rather than in Salem Village. And her husband Francis had been involved during the 1670s in a protracted dispute with Nathaniel Putnam (Ann's father-in-law) over some mutually bounded acreage.



Source: Reprinted by permission of Harvard University Press from Salem Possessed: The Social Origins of Witchcraft, by Paul Boyer and Stephen Nissenbaum, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, Copyright (c) 1974 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.



DOC M

Source: Boyer et. al., The Enduring Vision, 1992.

A sharp distinction emerged between the port's (Salem Town) residents...and outlying farmers (Salem Village). Prior to 1661 the richest 10 percent of Salem residents owned 21 percent of the town's property, but by 1681 the richest tenth possessed 62 percent of all wealth. (Salem Village) was divided between supporters of the Porter and Putnam families. Well connected with the merchant elite, the Porters enjoyed political prestige in Salem Town and lived in the village's eastern section, whose residents farmed richer soils and benefited somewhat from Salem Town's prosperity. In contrast, most Putnams lived in Salem Village's less fertile western half, had little chance to share in Salem Town's commercial expansion, and had lost the political influence that they once held in town.